

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
OXFORD DIVISION**

**WM. ROBERTS WILSON, JR. AND  
ROBERTS WILSON, JR., P.C. successor to  
WM. ROBERTS WILSON, JR., P.A.**

**PLAINTIFFS**

**VS.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09CV006-NBB-SAA**

**RICHARD F. SCRUGGS,  
RICHARD F. SCRUGGS, P.A.,  
SMBD, INC. f/k/a SCRUGGS LEGAL, P.A.  
f/k/a SCRUGGS, MILLETTE, BOZEMAN AND DENT, P.A.,  
SLF, INC. f/k/a THE SCRUGGS LAW FIRM, P.A.,  
EDWARD J. PETERS,  
STEVEN A. PATTERSON,  
TIMOTHY BALDUCCI,  
DAVID ZACHARY SCRUGGS, and  
JOHN DOES 1-10**

**DEFENDANTS**

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO SCRUGGS DEFENDANTS'  
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRESERVATION  
AND RETENTION OF DOCUMENTS**

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Wm. Roberts Wilson, Jr., and Roberts Wilson, Jr., P.C. (hereafter referred to as "Wilson" or "the plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, and in opposition to the Scruggs Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preservation and Retention of Documents, state as follows:

1. At the outset, plaintiffs would withdraw their request for relief in the form of any production of documents at this time. At the time of the filing of Plaintiffs' Motion to Order Preservation and Retention of Documents, the plaintiffs had served upon the United States Attorney's office and the Federal Bureau of Investigation subpoenas issued in a related cause styled *United States of America v. \$425,000 In U.S. Currency* Cause No. 3:08CV137 (hereinafter the "425,000 case"). Anticipating that questions might arise as to the production of certain of the materials subpoenaed, plaintiffs sought to maintain the status quo of such documents as they had been assembled by the United States Attorney's office and the Federal Bureau of Investigation in their prosecution of the conspiracy to bribe Hinds County Circuit Judge Bobby DeLaughter.

Inasmuch as the subpoena in the \$425,000 case was outstanding, plaintiffs' motion to order the preservation and retention of documents requested that the originals of all documents be retained and preserved and that only copies thereof be produced in response to plaintiffs' subpoena.

2. Inasmuch as the DeLaughter plea of guilty has not become final and accordingly the United States Attorney's office has not necessarily completed its prosecution of DeLaughter, any attempt to deal with the outstanding subpoenas is premature. Clearly under the "Touhy Regulations," those documents are not subject to production while the prosecution is ongoing. For that reason, plaintiffs, prior to the entry of the United States' special appearance herein, reached an agreement with the United States Attorney's office to hold in abeyance any action on the subpoenas until at least October 31, 2009, at which time negotiations will be undertaken to determine what if any of the documents in question are producible.

3. The Scruggs defendants' response states that "the defendants have no objection to the preservation and retention of original documents to the extent the United States Attorney and/or the FBI created those documents . . ." The Scruggs defendants however did object to plaintiffs' requests for retention to the extent they relate to documents obtained from the Scruggs defendants and/or their former legal counsel. Scruggs argues that only a portion of the documents obtained from the Langston law office relate to the litigation between plaintiffs and the Scruggs defendants, and that in fact only approximately 6-10 boxes of the 90 boxes originally obtained from the Langston law office in Boonville, Mississippi, are presently retained by either the FBI or the United States Attorney's office.

4. Plaintiffs indeed do not want any documents which do not pertain or relate to the litigation between plaintiffs and the Scruggs defendants and their attempt to bribe DeLaughter. It is in fact those very documents which plaintiffs ask the Court to order the United States Attorney and the FBI to retain upon completion of their investigation and prosecution, until further order of this Court. At that time all issues concerning the ownership of the documents in question, questions of attorney/client privilege, questions of whether evidence of criminal

activity is protected by the attorney/client privilege, and the application of the Touhy Regulations can be presented to the Court for determination. Plaintiffs simply ask at this time that the documents be retained so that risk of destruction and spoliation is eliminated until such time as a proper disposition of the documents can be made by the Court considering the ownership of the documents, attorney/client privilege questions, the timeliness and appropriateness of the subpoenas for such documents, the applicability of the Touhy Regulations to such documents, and any other questions which may be properly raised. In short, no harm can be alleged to result from the Court's ordering retention of the documents in their present status and format until such time as the various questions raised can, if necessary, be addressed and disposed of by the Court. To the contrary, should the documents be returned to the Langston law firm or otherwise disposed of by the United States Attorney's office and the FBI, their continuing availability until appropriate order of the Court could be entered would not be assured and, if they were destroyed, irreparable harm would result.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court to simply order the United States Attorney and the FBI to maintain the documents in question in their present form and configuration until further order of this Court.

Respectfully submitted, this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2009.

MERKEL & COCKE  
A Professional Association  
Post Office Box 1388  
Clarksdale, Mississippi 38614  
(662)-627-9641  
(662)-627-3592 telefax

By: /s/Charles M. Merkel, Jr.  
CHARLES M. MERKEL, JR. (MSB# 2884)  
For the Plaintiffs

OF COUNSEL:

VICKI R. SLATER, MSB# 9759  
VICKI R. SLATER, ATTORNEY AT LAW, P.A.  
1554 LAKESIDE DRIVE  
POST OFFICE BOX 23981  
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39225-3981  
TELEPHONE: (601)987-8184  
FACSIMILE: (601)987-8188

WILLIAM B. KIRKSEY, MSB# 4183  
KIRKSEY & ASSOCIATES  
POST OFFICE BOX 33  
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0033  
TELEPHONE: (601)354-4662  
FACSIMILE: (601)354-2433

CYNTHIA I. MITCHELL (MSB #3356)  
CHARLES M. MERKEL, JR. MSB# 2884  
MERKEL & COCKE, P.A.  
30 DELTA DRIVE  
POST OFFICE BOX 1388  
CLARKSDALE, MISSISSIPPI 38614-1388  
TELEPHONE: (662)-627-9641  
FACSIMILE (662)627-3592

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Gerald H. Jacks, Esquire  
Jamie F. Jacks, Esquire  
Jacks, Adams & Norquist, P.A.  
[gjacks@jacksadamsnorquist.com](mailto:gjacks@jacksadamsnorquist.com)  
[jjacks@jacksadamsnorquist.com](mailto:jjacks@jacksadamsnorquist.com)

Hiram Eastland, Jr, Esquire  
[eastlandlaw@bellsouth.net](mailto:eastlandlaw@bellsouth.net)

J. Cal Mayo, Jr., Esquire  
Paul Bowie Watkins, Jr., Esquire  
Pope S. Mallette, Esquire  
[cmayo@mayomallette.com](mailto:cmayo@mayomallette.com)  
[pwatkins@mayomallette.com](mailto:pwatkins@mayomallette.com)  
[pmallette@mayomallette.com](mailto:pmallette@mayomallette.com)

Michael D. Greer, Esquire  
[mgreer@greerlawfirm.com](mailto:mgreer@greerlawfirm.com)  
Attorney for Steve Patterson

Judson M. Lee, Esquire  
[jlee@ms-lawyer.net](mailto:jlee@ms-lawyer.net)  
Attorney for Ed Peters

William B. Kirksey, Esquire  
[mslawyer4183@aol.com](mailto:mslawyer4183@aol.com)  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

And I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following non-ECF participants:

Timothy Balducci  
12739-042  
FCI-Estill  
Satellite Camp - Unit F  
P.O. Box 699  
Estill, SC 29918

/s/Charles M. Merkel, Jr.  
CHARLES M. MERKEL, JR. (MSB# 2884)